JUL 1 8 2005 2 PTO/SB/21 (04-04) Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031 U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent And Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent And Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF CONTINUENCE

U.S. Patent OFFICE OFFI

TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)			Application Number 09/930,366		00	
			Filing Date August 15, 2001		5, 2001	
			First Named Inventor	Ke J. You	Ke J. Yoon	
			Art Unit 2151			
			Examiner Name	TRAN, No	TRAN, Nghi V.	
Total Number of Pages in This Submission		<i>F</i>	Attorney Docket Number	5835-000	5835-000070	
ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)						
☐ Fee Transmittal Form		☐ Drawing(s)			After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)	
		Licensing-related Papers			Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences	
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprie	Proprietary Information	
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status	Status Letter	
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer			Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):	
Express Abandonment Request		Request for Refund  CD, Number of CD(s)			Issue Fee Transmittal (in duplicate); return post card	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees that may be required under 37 CFR 1.16 or 1.17 to Deposit Account No. 08-0750. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application			ACCOUNT NO. US-U/S	o. A duplicate	copy of this sheet is enclosed.	
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT						
Firm or Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P Individual name		ierce, P.L.C.	Attorney Name G. Gregory Schivley  Reg. No. 27,382			
Signature g. / hugher / Churchen						
Date July 18, 2005						
CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION/MAILING						
I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the USPTO or deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on the date shown below.						
Typed or printed name	G. Gregory Sch	ixlex	110	Express Mail Label No.	EV 570 164 733 US (7/18/2005)	
Signature		hegh	/ Mintle	Date	July 18, 2005	
This collection of information	is required by 27 CED 4	E The idea	to in required to obtain as 11 1	anaga bu aba a ubii	autich in to Sie (and buthe LICOTO :	

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR/1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. /23 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, Plg. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22513/1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313/1450.

If you feed assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.





## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

09/930,366

Filing Date:

08/15/2001

Applicant:

Ki J. Yoon

Group Art Unit:

2151

Examiner:

TRAN, Nghi V

Title:

METHOD FOR RESOLUTION SERVICES

OF SPECIAL DOMAIN NAMES

Attorney Docket:

5835-000070

Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

## **COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE**

Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the [Examiner's] reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104 (e). In the present case, Applicant believes the record as a whole makes clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted, especially since the statement may unfairly focus on certain reasons for allowance which are not reflected by the prosecution history. Therefore, the record should reflect that Applicant does not necessarily agree with each statement in the reasons for allowance. For example, while Applicant believes the claims are allowable, Applicant may not unequivocally agree that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or

that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the reasons for allowance do not separately address the subject matter of all the claims, Applicant does not acquiesce to any inference that the non-addressed claims fail to present other reasons for patentability apart from the patentability of the claims which were specifically addressed by the Examiner.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated:

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C.

P.O. Box 828

Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303

(248) 641-1600